

1. M.G.F.D. - Berlin Conf - Fr leadership to avert
success of Sov divisive effort on Fr -
~~Sov~~
Sovs willing to sep Austr 1/2 from Ger problem

2. US C/A Berlin - ^{NSC} 5404 -
7 Splits - JCS + PB v State

~~Gen~~
TP6 - St interposition -
This case - (Unvalled prop adv?)

When we determine Sov created Sid in Berlin
unbearable - do we probe to limit force to get in
front of Berlin - or do we use left while prep
for stronger action, plus period of dipl repr +
efforts to solve peacefully -

P - But Sovs on notice, aren't they, that we
will take extremely serious view of Ber BL -
Why all the reasoning + probing in the paper -
Sov new attempt "utmost gravity" -

Sovs can jam Templehof so we can't fly
in - paper is bunch of bunk -
stockpile is to give State time for pub prep.
must end following Sov initiative -

~~What~~ Don't Sovs understand we take serious

8FD - never have made clear cut statement

Such as in K... - [unclear]
(Pressure as Sir [unclear] seen him)

JFD Don't send airlift while Sir in Berlin -
(picking back for?)

Pos interfere w access of port not clearly of
type to justify war - such as ^{dissuasion} retaliation for our
use of Berlin as forward base for subversion -

Can't decide on going to war ahead of time -
need Cong, pub support, Allies -
(neatly pinning Pres back)

Pres - UK says ~~blockade~~ airlift only answer?

OK, Brits, you fly it - really point comes where
as you defer decision action you're losing -

Let's make them know we take serious view of
any reimposition -
Humphrey - who pay lift - Brits - at whose expense

Pr PB trying to cover all contingencies

How can we tell them how serious we wd view
reimposition of blockade

Bedell [unclear] said wd go to war for Berlin past
NSC decision we wd not go to war for Berlin

And decides not to use CIA paper

JFD departs - handshakes

Cutter reads past US off statements on Berlin -

not decided in advance —
can't be so rigid so no room for maneuver — but
must know what maneuvering for —
Reant feel can w opportunity reimpose bl
Cet H 4a — out — (parent area)
4f — airlift — to full scale airlift —

Rad — airlift did not maintain ind act of Berlin
JCS asked to airlift to supply ind in Berlin

Humph — why spend money like that

Bed — why bring that up? impossible —

Pres — Cut that out — impossible —

Rad — any new airlift ~~is~~ much more difficult

Bed — can't decide these things now —

H6 — JCS delete

Bed — shd say ~~we~~ seems now UK, 71 not
go along w US in actions likely lead to war
last left 700 m \$ — w w 2 1 B \$/day — w w 3
2.5 b \$/day —

(Humphries visibly)

What broke up last blockade was US
counter-blockade — now we can't reimpose
counter-blockade —

Cet — But can't print these papers

over 4 over

Red - can't write now against a prob for 5 yrs
from now

Pres - if Sovs reimpose tight blockade I'm going
to Cong ask for general mob. - severe water
to the other bastards I'm getting ready to hit w all o
gov -

St - we didn't have ad approval for Korea

Pres - we didn't have it for Berlin - only Clay
kept us there

Can do mild lift - but can't afford lift
like last time -

St - make clear how serious we'd be

Pr - but must ^{convince} tell people that attack on Berlin is
attack on us -

Can't now real bloc Berlin unacceptable

Cit - Key #6 - do we or don't we mention
lift -

Pres - States right to insist on time - but
will decide means -

Red - we have lift -

Ad move deport of Berlin - while
this going on, we can send inspect teams
around find out why blockade -

For Release 2000/08/30 : CIA-RDP80R01443R000200120003-5

Evangelists will be notice how serious we need

Cut use 9d - cut off these w/ pole on light

p7. — (4 + 308)

p7. —
8c - "necessary" in to give aid ~~to~~ ^(Gr + BOB)
out

82 - JCS - new stockpile program -

St want 3-4 mo left —

keep CS statement

199d -

PIO - Perished in the ussiders down

with such a detailed c/a as 91-

Bed - can't det on probing now -

Rad-agreement have to be too specific now
in this paper -

Bottle - can use water -

8a

AWD - How this start? Bus stuck bridge on Elbe